Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/615,328	MCNEIL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	John E Chapman	2856
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>		
(1) John E Chapman.	(3)	
(2) John A. Fortkort.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 September 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☑ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐	] Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	No	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 4 and 23		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Amend claim 4 to depend upon claim 1, since claim 3 was cancelled. Cancel claim 23, since it does not appear to further limit claim 20.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief</li> </ul>	ition. The examiner will provide a wi	ritten summary of the substance he interview, since the interview
0		
Wn Cheme		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)
and the state of t		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-4136 (04-03)